

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-013746

06/13/2016

HONORABLE LORI HORN BUSTAMANTE

CLERK OF THE COURT
T. Nosker
Deputy

JOSEPH MOMOT, et al.

KRYSTLE DELGADO

v.

SILKWORTH MANOR L L C, et al.

ADAM E HAUF

MINUTE ENTRY

The court has received and considered the following pleadings regarding Plaintiff's Motion to Compel:

- Motion to Compel
- Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel
- Reply in Support of Motion to Compel

Pursuant to Rule 37(a)(2), "[i]f a party fails to make a disclosure required by Rule 26.1, any other party may move to compel disclosure and for appropriate sanctions." Defendant admits that the responses were late and asserts the delay was as a result of an error by his paralegal. In the Reply, Plaintiff states that the discovery responses recently received were inadequate.

IT IS ORDERED granting Plaintiff's Motion to Compel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing Defendant and Defense Counsel to review the responses and provide more thorough responses on or before July 1, 2016.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-013746

06/13/2016

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting Plaintiff's request for attorney fees for having to file the Motion to Compel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing Plaintiff's Counsel to file a Fee Application on or before July 1, 2016.